

OTAY WATER DISTRICT
ENGINEERING, OPERATIONS & WATER RESOURCES COMMITTEE MEETING
and
SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

BY TELECONFERENCE

2554 SWEETWATER SPRINGS BOULEVARD
SPRING VALLEY, CALIFORNIA

TUESDAY
July 20, 2021
12:00 P.M.

This is a District Committee meeting. This meeting is being posted as a special meeting in order to comply with the Brown Act (Government Code Section §54954.2) in the event that a quorum of the Board is present. Items will be deliberated, however, no formal board actions will be taken at this meeting. The committee makes recommendations to the full board for its consideration and formal action.

AGENDA

1. ROLL CALL
2. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION – OPPORTUNITY FOR MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC TO SPEAK TO THE COMMITTEE ON ANY SUBJECT MATTER WITHIN THE COMMITTEE'S JURISDICTION BUT NOT AN ITEM ON TODAY'S AGENDA

This meeting is being held via teleconference. Members of the public may submit their comments on agendized and non-agendized items by either of the following two methods:

- a) No later than a half hour before the start of the meeting, complete and submit a [Request to Speak Form](#). Your request to speak will be acknowledged during the "Public Participation" portion of the meeting when the committee will hear your public comment. When called to speak, please state your Name and the City in which you reside. You will be provided three minutes to speak.

OR

- b) No later than a half hour before the start of the meeting, email your comment to BoardSecretary@otaywater.gov and it will be read aloud during the "Public Participation" portion of the meeting. Please provide your Name and the City in which you reside, with your comment. Your comment must not take more than three minutes to read.

The District's meeting is live streamed. Information on how to watch and listen to the District's meeting can be found at this link: <https://otaywater.gov/board-of-directors/agenda-and-minutes/committee-meetings/>

DISCUSSION ITEMS

3. AUTHORIZE THE GENERAL MANAGER TO ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH KIRK PAVING FOR AS-NEEDED EMERGENCY PAVING SERVICES IN AN AMOUNT NOT-TO-EXCEED \$300,000 FOR THE INITIAL TERM PLUS FOUR (4) ONE-YEAR OPTION RENEWAL TERMS, FOR A TOTAL OF \$1,500,000 OVER FIVE YEARS (MEDEROS) [5 minutes]
4. AWARD TWO (2) PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENTS FOR AS-NEEDED GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING AND AUTHORIZE THE GENERAL MANAGER TO EXECUTE TWO (2) AGREEMENTS WITH ATLAS TECHNICAL CONSULTANTS, LLC AND NINYO & MOORE GEOTECHNICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES CONSULTANTS, INC., EACH IN AN AMOUNT NOT-TO-EXCEED \$175,000. THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF THE TWO CONTRACTS WILL NOT EXCEED \$175,000 DURING FISCAL YEARS 2022, 2023, AND 2024 (ENDING JUNE 30, 2024) (CAMERON) [5 minutes]
5. ADJOURNMENT

BOARD MEMBERS ATTENDING:

Tim Smith, Chair
Gary Croucher

All items appearing on this agenda, whether or not expressly listed for action, may be deliberated and may be subject to action by the Board.

The Agenda, and any attachments containing written information, are available at the District's website at www.otaywater.gov. Written changes to any items to be considered at the open meeting, or to any attachments, will be posted on the District's website. Copies of the Agenda and all attachments are also available by contacting the District Secretary at (619) 670-2253.

If you have any disability which would require accommodation in order to enable you to participate in this meeting, please call the District Secretary at 670-2253 at least 24 hours prior to the meeting.

Certification of Posting

I certify that on July 16, 2021, I posted a copy of the foregoing agenda near the regular meeting place of the Board of Directors of Otay Water District, said time being at least 24 hours in advance of the meeting of the Board of Directors (Government Code Section §54954.2).

Executed at Spring Valley, California on July 16, 2021.

/s/ Tita Ramos-Krogman, District Secretary

AGENDA ITEM 3



STAFF REPORT

| | | | |
|---------------|---|---------------|----------------------|
| TYPE MEETING: | Regular Board | MEETING DATE: | August 4, 2021 |
| SUBMITTED BY: | Charles Mederos, Utility Services Manager | PROJECT: | Various DIV. NO. ALL |
| APPROVED BY: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pedro Porras, Chief of Water Operations <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Jose Martinez, General Manager | | |
| SUBJECT: | AS-NEEDED EMERGENCY PAVING SERVICES AGREEMENT | | |

GENERAL MANAGER'S RECOMMENDATION:

That the Board authorize the General Manager to enter into an agreement with Kirk Paving for as-needed emergency paving services in an amount not-to-exceed \$300,000 for the initial term plus four (4) one-year option renewal terms, for a total of \$1,500,000 over five years.

COMMITTEE ACTION:

See "Attachment A."

PURPOSE:

To perform the needed and critical asphaltic concrete emergency paving work that the District is accountable for, in a cost-effective and timely manner without affecting the current annual as-needed paving contract for regular non-emergency work.

ANALYSIS:

The District currently has a five-year contract in place, effective July 1, 2019, in the amount of \$200,000 per fiscal year for as-needed paving services. This contract is utilized to maintain and repair the removal and re-installation of asphalt paving in public roadways when repairs are performed for regular corrective and preventive maintenance

to preserve water delivery infrastructure. The District has exercised two of the four annual option renewal terms. During the past year and a half, the District has experienced several main breaks and leaks (Attachment B) in which staff needed to utilize the as-needed paving services contract to base pave or to complete the work. FY 2021 expenses for this contract is estimated to be close to \$235,000 and the General Manager approved an additional \$75,000 to cover emergency repairs for unexpected events, such as paving work for the main breaks on Orville Street in Spring Valley and initial paving work on the recycled main break on Olympic Parkway in Chula Vista.

To efficiently expedite emergency road repairs in a safe and cost-effective manner, a new contract is recommended to reduce the time it takes to solicit bids, award a contract, and initiate paving work. This will also allow to track regular and emergency repairs without exhausting the dedicated fund for each contract. Since emergency paving work will be third-party damages, the charges will be reimbursed to the District via claims submitted to the District's insurance provider ACWA-JPIA.

In accordance with the District's purchasing requirements, bids were solicited on June 3, 2021, via Periscope Source (the District's online bid solicitation portal), the District's website, and in the Daily Transcript. On June 14, 2021, four prospective contractors attended a pre-bid conference via Zoom. Bids were received through Periscope Source on June 24, 2021, and publicly open via Zoom with the following result:

| Bidder | Weighted Score |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Kirk Paving | 27,776 |
| Koch General Engineering | 56,857 |
| RGC General Engineering | 72,531 |

Bids have been submitted, on a unit-cost basis, based on the different types of work typically required during emergency work paving. The types of work are assigned a weight-factor, centered on the District's experience of the frequency they will be employed during the term of the agreement. These weights are multiplied by the unit cost to determine a unit score based on the corresponding unit of measurement, i.e., by square footage, that the contractor will perform the work. Unit scores are totaled to provide the overall score of the bid and the contractor with the lowest overall score is the lowest bidder. Please refer to "Attachment C."

It is recommended that the District enter into an agreement with Kirk Paving for as-needed emergency paving services in an amount not-to-exceed \$300,000 for the initial term plus four (4) one-year option

renewal terms, for total of \$1,500,000 over five years. The table below illustrates the format of the agreement:

| Term | Year | NTE Amount |
|------------------------|---------|-------------|
| Initial Term | FY 2022 | \$300,000 |
| Option to Renew Term 1 | FY 2023 | \$300,000 |
| Option to Renew Term 2 | FY 2024 | \$300,000 |
| Option to Renew Term 3 | FY 2025 | \$300,000 |
| Option to Renew Term 4 | FY 2026 | \$300,000 |
| Total | | \$1,500,000 |

Every year, staff will assess the cost of paving, which is believed to increase due to the rise in construction activities, and will discuss with the contractor if their unit price remains the same or if a reasonable increase adjustment is needed for an additional year contract. Then, staff will either propose to renew the contract or will go over the process again requesting additional proposals from other contractors.

FISCAL IMPACT: Joe Beachem, Chief Financial Officer

The emergency paving work will be third-party damages and charges will be reimbursed to the District, thus resulting in no fiscal impact. There may be an indirect impact in that the insurance claim history affects future insurance premiums.

STRATEGIC GOAL:

5.3.512 - Water Distribution System Integrity. As well as maintaining a safe and continuous water supply and safety to the general public.

LEGAL IMPACT:

None

Attachments: Attachment A - Committee Action
 Attachment B - Detailed Expense for Paving Work
 Attachment C - As-Needed Paving Services Bid Score Sheet
 Kirk Paving



ATTACHMENT A

| | |
|-------------------------|---|
| SUBJECT/PROJECT: | AS-NEEDED EMERGENCY PAVING SERVICES AGREEMENT |
|-------------------------|---|

COMMITTEE ACTION:

The Engineering, Operations, and Water Resources Committee met on **July 20, 2021**, to review this item. The Committee supports presentation to the full Board for their consideration.

NOTE:

The "Committee Action" is written in anticipation of the Committee moving the item forward for board approval. This report will be sent to the Board as a committee approved item, or modified to reflect any discussion or changes as directed from the committee prior to presentation to the full board.

ATTACHMENT B

| Date | Amount | Contractor | Account | Location | PO |
|---------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| 3/31/2020 | \$51,655.89 | KIRK PAVING INC | p1330-001000-5204-533101 11-1311-5331 | Main St | PO 721065 |
| 9/15/2020 | \$21,489.00 | KIRK PAVING INC | p1350-001000-6401-525201 11-1311-5252 | Orville St | PO 721204 |
| 10/7/2020 | \$65,056.75 | KIRK PAVING INC | p1350-000000-5420-525201 11-1311-5252 | Olympic Pkwy | PO 721204 |
| Pending | \$141,980.00 | KIRK PAVING INC | p1350-001000-6401-525201 11-1311-5252 | Olympic Pkwy | PO 721383 |
| 11/10/2020 | \$1,753.00 | KIRK PAVING INC | p1350-000000-5420-525201 11-1311-5252 | Olympic Pkwy | PO 721204 |
| 6/28/2021 | \$42,181.85 | KOCH GENERAL ENG | P1350-000000-5420-525201 11-1311-5252 | Olympic Pkwy - #2 | PO 721435 |
| 4/22/2021 | \$54,545.04 | KIRK PAVING INC | p1350-004000-5420-525201 11-1311-5252 | Olympic Pkwy - #2 | PO 721204 |
| Totals | \$378,661.53 | | | | |

ATTACHMENT C

FY22-3200-018 As-Needed Emergency Paving Services Bid Score Sheet Kirk Paving

Quantity = a weighted score.

The Total Score of the bid shall be determined by the sum of the Unit Bid Price multiplied by the Quantity (Qty). [(Item 1 Unit Bid Price multiplied by Item 1 Qty) + (Item 2 Unit Bid Price multiplied by Item 2 Qty) + (Item 3 Unit Bid Price multiplied by Item 3 Qty) + (Items 4 through 20) = Total Score.]

Award of contract, if awarded, will be to the responsive and responsible bidder with the lowest Grand Total Score.

| Item | Qty | Type of Service | Unit | CONTROL | | 2,000 SF or Less | | 2,001 SF to 10,000 SF | | 10,001 SF or More | |
|--------------------------|-----|------------------------------|------|----------------|---------------|------------------|----------------|-----------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|
| | | | | Unit Bid Price | Total Score | Unit Bid Price | Total Score | Unit Bid Price | Total Score | Unit Bid Price | Total Score |
| 1 | 10 | Asphalt 7"-12" | SF | \$ 1.00 | 10.00 | \$ 18.55 | 185.50 | \$ 13.35 | 133.50 | \$12.85 | 128.50 |
| 2 | 10 | 2.0" Grind & Pave | SF | \$ 1.00 | 10.00 | \$ 2.65 | 26.50 | \$ 2.35 | 23.50 | \$2.35 | 23.50 |
| 3 | 5 | Asphalt 0"-6" | SF | \$ 1.00 | 5.00 | \$ 16.75 | 83.75 | \$ 9.25 | 46.25 | \$8.25 | 41.25 |
| 4 | 8 | Traffic Striping | LF | \$ 1.00 | 8.00 | \$ 1.00 | 8.00 | \$ 1.00 | 8.00 | \$1.00 | 8.00 |
| 5 | 3 | Grinding 0"-6" | SF | \$ 1.00 | 3.00 | \$ 2.65 | 7.95 | \$ 2.65 | 7.95 | \$2.45 | 7.35 |
| 6 | 8 | Grinding 7"-12" | SF | \$ 1.00 | 8.00 | \$ 3.35 | 26.80 | \$ 3.35 | 26.80 | \$2.85 | 22.80 |
| 7 | 3 | Sand/Seal | SF | \$ 1.00 | 3.00 | \$ 0.50 | 1.50 | \$ 0.50 | 1.50 | \$0.50 | 1.50 |
| 8 | 5 | Chip Seal | SF | \$ 1.00 | 5.00 | \$ 2.50 | 12.50 | \$ 1.50 | 7.50 | \$1.25 | 6.25 |
| 9 | 5 | Thermo Plastic Logos | SF | \$ 1.00 | 5.00 | \$ 10.00 | 50.00 | \$ 10.00 | 50.00 | \$10.00 | 50.00 |
| 10 | 3 | Thermo Plastic Striping | LF | \$ 1.00 | 3.00 | \$ 10.00 | 30.00 | \$ 10.00 | 30.00 | \$10.00 | 30.00 |
| 11 | 8 | Temp Tab | Each | \$ 1.00 | 3.00 | \$ 3.00 | 24.00 | \$ 3.00 | 24.00 | \$3.00 | 24.00 |
| 12 | 8 | Temp Striping | LF | \$ 1.00 | 8.00 | \$ 1.00 | 8.00 | \$ 1.00 | 8.00 | \$1.00 | 8.00 |
| 13 | 3 | Traffic Loops | Each | \$ 1.00 | 3.00 | \$ 850.00 | 2,550.00 | \$ 850.00 | 2,550.00 | \$850.00 | 2,550.00 |
| 14 | 8 | Base Removal/Replace/Com | TN | \$ 1.00 | 8.00 | \$ 55.00 | 440.00 | \$ 55.00 | 440.00 | \$50.00 | 150.00 |
| 15 | 1 | Saw Cut 0"-6" | LF | \$ 1.00 | 1.00 | \$ 2.00 | 2.00 | \$ 2.00 | 2.00 | \$2.00 | 2.00 |
| 16 | 1 | Saw Cut 6"-12" | LF | \$ 1.00 | 1.00 | \$ 2.00 | 2.00 | \$ 2.00 | 2.00 | \$2.00 | 2.00 |
| 17 | 1 | Saw Cut 12"+ | SF | \$ 1.00 | 1.00 | \$ 2.00 | 2.00 | \$ 2.00 | 2.00 | \$2.00 | 2.00 |
| 18 | 10 | GeoTech Services (4 Hr Min) | HR | \$ 1.00 | 10.00 | \$ 340.00 | 3,400.00 | \$ 340.00 | 3,400.00 | \$340.00 | 3,400.00 |
| 19 | 10 | Trucking-haul per hour (4 Hr | HR | \$ 1.00 | 10.00 | \$ 130.00 | 1,300.00 | \$ 130.00 | 1,300.00 | \$130.00 | 1,300.00 |
| 20 | 10 | Dump Fees | LD | \$ 1.00 | 10.00 | \$ 125.00 | 1,250.00 | \$ 125.00 | 1,250.00 | \$125.00 | 1,250.00 |
| 21 | 1 | Time & Material Mark-Up Ra | % | 1% | 1.00 | 15% | 15.00 | 15% | 15.00 | 15% | 15.00 |
| Total Score | | | | | 116.00 | | 9425.50 | | 9328.00 | | 9022.15 |
| Grand Total Score | | | | | | | | | | 27775.65 | |

Unit Legend: SF = Square Foot, LF = Linear Foot, TN = Ton, HR = Hour, LD = Load, % = Percentage

AGENDA ITEM 4



STAFF REPORT

| | | | |
|---------------|--|---------------|---------------------|
| TYPE MEETING: | Regular Board | MEETING DATE: | August 4, 2021 |
| SUBMITTED BY: | Kevin Cameron Senior Civil Engineer | PROJECT: | Various DIV.NO. All |
| APPROVED BY: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Bob Kennedy, Engineering Manager <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rod Posada, Chief, Engineering <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Jose Martinez, General Manager | | |
| SUBJECT: | Award of Two (2) Professional Services Agreements for As-Needed Geotechnical Engineering During Fiscal Years 2022, 2023, and 2024 | | |

GENERAL MANAGER'S RECOMMENDATION:

That the Otay Water District (District) Board of Directors (Board) award two (2) professional services agreements for As-Needed Geotechnical Engineering and to authorize the General Manager to execute two (2) agreements with Atlas Technical Consultants, LLC (Atlas) and Ninyo & Moore Geotechnical and Environmental Sciences Consultants, Inc. (Ninyo & Moore), each in an amount not-to-exceed \$175,000. The total amount of the two contracts will not exceed \$175,000 during Fiscal Years 2022, 2023, and 2024 (ending June 30, 2024).

COMMITTEE ACTION:

Please see Attachment A.

PURPOSE:

To obtain Board authorization for the General Manager to enter into two (2) professional services agreements for As-Needed Geotechnical Engineering with Atlas and Ninyo & Moore, each contract in an amount not-to-exceed \$175,000 for Fiscal Years 2022, 2023, and 2024. The total amount of the two (2) contracts will not exceed \$175,000 during Fiscal Years 2022-2024.

ANALYSIS:

The District will require the services of two (2) professional geotechnical engineering consultants on an as-needed basis in support of Capital Improvement Program (CIP) projects for Fiscal Years 2022, 2023, and 2024. It is more efficient and cost effective to issue as-needed contracts for Geotechnical Engineering Services, which will provide the District with the ability to obtain consulting services in a timely and efficient manner. This concept has also been used in the past for other disciplines, such as construction management, engineering design, hydraulic modeling, and environmental services.

The District staff will identify tasks and request cost proposals from the two consultants during the contract period. Each consultant will prepare a detailed scope of work, schedule, and fee for each task order, with the District evaluating the proposals based upon qualifications and cost. The District will enter into negotiations with the consultants, selecting the proposal that has the best value for the District. Upon written task order authorization from the District, the selected consultant shall then proceed with the project as described in the scope of work.

The CIP projects that are estimated to require Geotechnical Engineering Services for Fiscal Years 2022, 2023, and 2024 at this time, are listed below:

| CIP | DESCRIPTION | ESTIMATED COST |
|------------|---|-----------------------|
| P1000 | Soils Compaction Testing in Support of OWD Utility Maintenance | \$5,000 |
| P2040 | Res - 1655-1 Reservoir 0.5 MG | \$30,000 |
| P2578 | PS - 711-2 (711-1 Pump Station Replacement and Expansion) - 14,000 GPM | \$15,000 |
| P2615 | PL - 12-Inch Pipeline Replacement, 803 PZ, Vista Grande | \$20,000 |
| P2680 | PL - 12-inch Pipeline Replacement, 1530 Zone, Vista Diego Road | \$10,000 |
| P2681 | PL-12-Inch, 1655 Zone, Presilla Drive Pipeline Replacement | \$10,000 |
| P2663 | Potable Water Pressure Vessel Program | \$5,000 |
| R2153 | Recycled Water Pressure Vessel Program | \$5,000 |
| R2159 | RecPL - 20-Inch, 680 Zone, Olympic Parkway Recycled Pipeline Rehabilitation | \$20,000 |
| | <i>Continued on the next page</i> | |
| S2069 | Cottonwood Sewer Pump Station Renovation | \$15,000 |
| Various | Steel Reservoir Rehabilitation - Welding Inspection | \$15,000 |
| | TOTAL: | \$150,000 |

Staff believes that a \$175,000 cap on each of the As-Needed Geotechnical Engineering Services contracts is adequate, while still providing a buffer for any unforeseen tasks. Fees for professional services will be charged to the CIP projects.

The As-Needed Geotechnical Engineering Services contracts do not commit the District to any expenditure until a task order is approved to perform the work. The District does not guarantee work to the consultants, nor does the District guarantee to the consultants that it will expend all of the funds authorized by the contract on professional services.

The District solicited Geotechnical Engineering Services by placing an advertisement on the Otay Water District's website and using Periscope S2G (formally BidSync), the District's online bid solicitation website on May 10, 2021. Eleven (11) firms submitted a Letter of Interest and a Statement of Qualifications. The Request for Proposal (RFP) for Geotechnical Engineering Services was sent to all eleven (11) firms resulting in nine (9) proposals received on June 22, 2021. They are as follows:

- Atlas Technical Consultants (San Diego, CA)
- Geocon (San Diego, CA)
- Geosyntec (San Diego, CA)
- Helenschmidt (Carlsbad, CA)
- MTGL (Anaheim, CA)
- Ninyo & Moore (San Diego, CA)
- NV5 (San Diego, CA)
- RMA Group (San Diego, CA)
- SCST (San Diego, CA)

Krazan (Corona, CA) and Construction Testing & Engineering (Escondido, CA) both submitted a Letter of Interest, but did not submit a proposal.

In accordance with the District's Policy 21, staff evaluated and scored all written proposals. Atlas and Ninyo & Moore received the highest scores based on their experience, understanding of the scope of work, proposed method to accomplish the work, and their composite hourly rate. Atlas and Ninyo & Moore were the most qualified consultants with the best overall proposal. Both consultants provided similar services to other local agencies and are readily available to provide the services required. A summary of the complete evaluation is shown in Attachment B.

Atlas and Ninyo & Moore submitted the Company Background Questionnaire, as required by the RFP, and staff did not find any significant issues. In addition, staff checked their references and performed an internet search on each company. Staff found the

references to be excellent and did not find any outstanding issues with the internet search.

FISCAL IMPACT: Joe Beachem, Chief Financial Officer

The funds for these contracts will be expended on a variety of projects, as previously noted above. These contracts are for as-needed professional services based on the District's need and schedule, and expenditures will not be made until a task order is approved by the District for the consultant's services on a specific CIP project.

Based on a review of the financial budget, the Project Manager anticipates that the budgets will be sufficient to support the professional as-needed consulting services required for the CIP projects noted above.

The Finance Department has determined that the funds to cover these contracts will be available as budgeted for these projects.

GRANTS/LOANS:

Engineering staff researched and explored grants and loans and found none available for this Project.

STRATEGIC GOAL:

This Project supports the District's Mission statement, "To provide exceptional water and wastewater service to its customers, and to manage District resources in a transparent and fiscally responsible manner" and the General Manager's Vision, "To be a model water agency by providing stellar service, achieving measurable results, and continuously improving operational practices."

LEGAL IMPACT:

None.

KC/BK:jf

[https://otaywater365.sharepoint.com/sites/engoperating/Shared Documents/As-Needed Services/Geotechnical/FY 2022-2024/Staff Report/BD_8-4-21_Staff Report_Award of As-Needed Geotechnical Engineering Services \(KC-BK\).docx](https://otaywater365.sharepoint.com/sites/engoperating/Shared Documents/As-Needed Services/Geotechnical/FY 2022-2024/Staff Report/BD_8-4-21_Staff Report_Award of As-Needed Geotechnical Engineering Services (KC-BK).docx)

Attachments: Attachment A - Committee Action
 Attachment B - Summary of Proposal Rankings



ATTACHMENT A

| | |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| SUBJECT/PROJECT Various | Award of Two (2) Professional Services Agreements for As-Needed Geotechnical Engineering During Fiscal Years 2022, 2023, and 2024 |
|---------------------------------------|---|

COMMITTEE ACTION:

The Engineering, Operations, and Water Resources Committee (Committee) reviewed this item at a meeting held on July 20, 2021. The Committee supported staff's recommendation.

NOTE:

The "Committee Action" is written in anticipation of the Committee moving the item forward for Board approval. This report will be sent to the Board as a Committee approved item, or modified to reflect any discussion or changes as directed from the Committee prior to presentation to the full Board.

**ATTACHMENT B
SUMMARY OF PROPOSAL RANKINGS
As-Needed Geotechnical Services**

| | | WRITTEN | | | | | | | REFERENCES | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|------------|----------------------------|
| | | Qualifications of Team | Responsiveness and Project Understanding | Technical and Management Approach | INDIVIDUAL SUBTOTAL - WRITTEN | AVERAGE SUBTOTAL - WRITTEN | Proposed Rates* | Consultant's Commitment to DBE | | TOTAL SCORE |
| MAXIMUM POINTS | | 30 | 25 | 30 | 85 | 85 | 15 | Y/N | 100 | Poor/Good/Excellent |
| Atlas | <i>Kent Payne</i> | 25 | 23 | 27 | 75 | 76 | 10 | | 86 | |
| | <i>Brandon DiPietro</i> | 28 | 23 | 27 | 78 | | | | | |
| | <i>Chad Thompson</i> | 27 | 23 | 26 | 76 | | | | | |
| | <i>Juan Tamayo</i> | 27 | 22 | 26 | 75 | | | | | |
| | <i>Kevin Cameron</i> | 27 | 23 | 26 | 76 | | | | | |
| Geocon | <i>Kent Payne</i> | 28 | 24 | 28 | 80 | 76 | 8 | | 84 | |
| | <i>Brandon DiPietro</i> | 27 | 23 | 25 | 75 | | | | | |
| | <i>Chad Thompson</i> | 27 | 23 | 26 | 76 | | | | | |
| | <i>Juan Tamayo</i> | 27 | 22 | 25 | 74 | | | | | |
| | <i>Kevin Cameron</i> | 27 | 23 | 27 | 77 | | | | | |
| Geosyntec | <i>Kent Payne</i> | 26 | 23 | 27 | 76 | 71 | 9 | | 80 | |
| | <i>Brandon DiPietro</i> | 24 | 21 | 24 | 69 | | | | | |
| | <i>Chad Thompson</i> | 25 | 22 | 23 | 70 | | | | | |
| | <i>Juan Tamayo</i> | 25 | 21 | 25 | 71 | | | | | |
| | <i>Kevin Cameron</i> | 25 | 21 | 24 | 70 | | | | | |
| HelenSchmidt | <i>Kent Payne</i> | 24 | 21 | 23 | 68 | 65 | 4 | | 69 | |
| | <i>Brandon DiPietro</i> | 22 | 19 | 21 | 62 | | | | | |
| | <i>Chad Thompson</i> | 22 | 20 | 22 | 64 | | | | | |
| | <i>Juan Tamayo</i> | 24 | 20 | 24 | 68 | | | | | |
| | <i>Kevin Cameron</i> | 21 | 20 | 22 | 63 | | | | | |
| MTGL | <i>Kent Payne</i> | 25 | 22 | 26 | 73 | 71 | 1 | | 72 | |
| | <i>Brandon DiPietro</i> | 24 | 21 | 23 | 68 | | | | | |
| | <i>Chad Thompson</i> | 24 | 21 | 23 | 68 | | | | | |
| | <i>Juan Tamayo</i> | 27 | 21 | 26 | 74 | | | | | |
| | <i>Kevin Cameron</i> | 26 | 21 | 23 | 70 | | | | | |
| Ninyo & Moore | <i>Kent Payne</i> | 27 | 23 | 28 | 78 | 78 | 15 | | 93 | |
| | <i>Brandon DiPietro</i> | 28 | 25 | 28 | 81 | | | | | |
| | <i>Chad Thompson</i> | 27 | 23 | 27 | 77 | | | | | |
| | <i>Juan Tamayo</i> | 27 | 22 | 26 | 75 | | | | | |
| | <i>Kevin Cameron</i> | 28 | 23 | 28 | 79 | | | | | |
| Nova Services | <i>Kent Payne</i> | 26 | 22 | 25 | 73 | 70 | 14 | | 84 | |
| | <i>Brandon DiPietro</i> | 23 | 21 | 23 | 67 | | | | | |
| | <i>Chad Thompson</i> | 26 | 22 | 25 | 73 | | | | | |
| | <i>Juan Tamayo</i> | 25 | 21 | 26 | 72 | | | | | |
| | <i>Kevin Cameron</i> | 23 | 21 | 23 | 67 | | | | | |
| NV5 | <i>Kent Payne</i> | 26 | 23 | 26 | 75 | 73 | 3 | | 76 | |
| | <i>Brandon DiPietro</i> | 24 | 22 | 23 | 69 | | | | | |
| | <i>Chad Thompson</i> | 26 | 23 | 24 | 73 | | | | | |
| | <i>Juan Tamayo</i> | 26 | 23 | 26 | 75 | | | | | |
| | <i>Kevin Cameron</i> | 26 | 22 | 23 | 71 | | | | | |
| RMA Group | <i>Kent Payne</i> | 26 | 23 | 27 | 76 | 73 | 7 | | 80 | |
| | <i>Brandon DiPietro</i> | 25 | 22 | 23 | 70 | | | | | |
| | <i>Chad Thompson</i> | 26 | 22 | 26 | 74 | | | | | |
| | <i>Juan Tamayo</i> | 24 | 22 | 24 | 70 | | | | | |
| | <i>Kevin Cameron</i> | 25 | 22 | 26 | 73 | | | | | |

| RATES SCORING CHART | | |
|---------------------|-------|-------|
| Consultant | Rate | Score |
| Atlas | \$272 | 10 |
| Geocon, Inc. | \$291 | 8 |
| Geosyntec | \$284 | 9 |
| Helenschmidt | \$324 | 4 |
| MTGL | \$343 | 1 |
| Ninyo & Moore | \$236 | 15 |
| Nova Services | \$245 | 14 |
| NV5 | \$324 | 3 |
| RMA Group | \$301 | 7 |

Note: Review Panel does not see or consider rates when scoring other categories. Rates are scored by Engineering Staff, who is not on Review Panel.